

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF United States Patent and Trademark Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PACENT P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1-50 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/073,513	02/11/2002		B. Ryland Wiggs	N8232	5297
23456	7590	06/08/2004		EXAMINER	
WADDEY & PATTERSON				JONES, MELVIN	
		, SUITE 2020		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
BANK OF AMERICA PLAZA NASHVILLE, TN 37219				3744	THE EX NOMBER

DATE MAILED: 06/08/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/073,513	WIGGS, B. RYLAND					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Melvin Jones	3744					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 M	<u>arch 2004</u> .						
,—	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
·— ···	.—						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) 2,3,11 and 21 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,4,8-10,12-16 and 18 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 5-7,17,19 and 20 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.						
Application Papers		7					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/073,513

Art Unit: 3744

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1,4,8,9,12-16 & 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Wolf (4,325,228). Wolf discloses a geothermal heating & cooling system comprising: a heat pump (10), a closed circuit interconnecting a conditioner (22), a heat exchanger (23) and an expansion valve (24) whereby the liquefied refrigerate then flows through the expansion valve (24) and into the heat exchanger coil of heat exchanger (23) that now operates as an evaporator. The term "operatively" as defined by Webster Collegiate Dictionary 10th edition – means to produce a desired effect. Wolf further discloses a compressor (14) and auxiliary liquid circulating pump (31) connect to a supply line (30) and said supply line is connected with the heat exchanger (23). It is deemed that the functional "whereby" statement does not define any structure and according can not serve to distinguish – In re Mason, 114 USPTO 127, 44 CCPA 937 (1957).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 3744

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wolf in view "Official Notice". Wolf discloses the claimed invention but lacks an oil separator. The oil separator is well known in the art and is therefore deemed by examiner, that it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to utilize an oil separator for removing oil from refrigerant and distributing back to compressor.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 2,3,11 & 21 are allowed over the prior art of record.

Claims 5-7,17,19 & 20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The art of record when considered alone or in combination, neither anticipates nor renders obvious a second refrigerant liquid pump for pumping during cooling operation.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-21 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 3744

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Melvin Jones whose telephone number is (703) 305-0251. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Denise Esquivel can be reached on (703) 308-2597. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

mj

MELVIN JONES
PRIMARY EXAMINER